

Defend Party Principles, Advance on Kaypakkaya's Route, Stand Against Right Opportunism with MLM ideology! (Maoist Communist Party – Temporary Central Committee)

Turkey-North Kurdistan working class, the toiling peasants and our people. We will fulfill our duty to publicize our strategic stance in order to overcome obstacles.

As can be understood in all the articles in our statement, we will explain why the "3rd Congress" does not represent the MKP, which broke the principle of democratic centralism, ended the two-line struggle and declared that it changed the ideological, political and organizational line of Kaypakkaya's party by turning the putschism into a line.

This is also the fulfillment of our party's task of fighting opportunism as part of the international communist movement.

The Communist Party, which is the only means of liberation of the world revolutionary working class, is universal, not local, just like the ideology of Marxism, Leninism, and Maoism. The struggle for communism has a rich historical experience. This experience gained from the class struggle is the common legacy of the international proletariat, the social phenomenon of liberation consciousness transforming into the revolutionary fighting force of the moment. It is only through the CP to adopt and fight for the dictatorship of the proletariat. Since we are not evolutionists, we must organize in order to put an end to the rule of the reactionary ruling classes by means of revolutionary means. The creation of a revolutionary party is impossible without revolutionary theory. Armed with the revolutionary ideology of the working class against the ruling classes that hold the means of production and the private ownership of capital, the masses of the people, armed with the revolutionary ideology of the working class, can advance towards the goal of liberation by means of the CP.

The organizational principles of our party cannot be separated from the political purpose and ideology. As the leading force of the oppressed classes, our party defended that the principles of organization are inviolable. The important point to keep in mind is this: The political, ideological, political line of every party and organization in any country of the world cannot be treated as if it were a phenomenon within its narrow national borders. These organizations and parties are a part of international ideological political currents.

We do not attempt to reinvent again and again. We remind you that, and only, the CP is the only leading force in the cause of revolution and communism that has been tested and adopted. Of course, organizational principles cannot be violated. If the principles of the organization are trampled, then it loses its ability to lead the revolutionary movement.

Revisionist movements also have an organizational understanding. But the opportunist understanding of organization and the understanding of communist organization are incompatible with each other. The party understanding of the opportunist class collaborator

currents contradicts the communists' understanding of the party, and this contradiction is not independent of ideology. Otherwise, there would be no difference between the organizational point of view of Martov, Plehanev, Trotsky and the great organizer of the revolution, Lenin. The organizational understanding of our party is not different from the ICM. In this sense, the organizational line of many petty bourgeois revolutionary organizations and parties is different from the organizational principles and line of our party. The political principles, ideological structure of each party take shape in accordance with its political purpose. We cannot expect petty bourgeois organizations and parties that distort Marxism and proceed on the course of class cooperation to adhere to communist organizational principles.

In essence, CP, which takes MLM science as its guide, has to be uncompromising and strictly adhering to communist organizational principles with unquestionable clarity. Otherwise, it is unthinkable to direct the revolutionary war and organize the popular masses for the purpose of revolution. As in all matters, we must grasp the party tool with its universal international character. Otherwise, we cannot understand the proven organizational principles and the importance of discipline. After recalling the dialectical link between the importance of the Communist Party in general and our specificity, we can move to the core of the party crisis we are experiencing.

We Will Stand Against the Right Opportunism of the “3rd Congress” with the MLM Ideology!

In the communist movement, ideology is fundamental. The main vein of all our work is ideological struggle. The first criterion for whether an organization is communist or not is to see if it has embraced Marxism, Leninism, Maoism. If an organization has not embraced Marxism, it does not even need to look at any other characteristics. But it is not enough to simply declare his acceptance of Marxism in words. The ideology and political aim of the communist movement must be compatible with its organizational struggle. Otherwise, it will simply adopt Marxism in words and cannot maintain its communist character. History has adopted the basic point of view of Marxism and offers us too many examples of CPs that have been tainted by organizational opportunism. We must resolutely resist opportunism, which sets out to tarnish the Marxist ideology and political core of our party with bourgeois ideas. Those who do not attach importance to ideology cannot grasp the class compromise that arises with political purposes. They cannot take a revolutionary attitude. They think every shining thing is gold. Yet not everything that shines is gold. Ideological drift breaks off the goal of communism. It highlights political and even bourgeois class compromise. It makes visible its incurable character. No matter how much they try to distort Marxism in order to hide their compromising character, they cannot achieve this. After all, they have entered arm in arm with the bourgeoisie under revolutionary Marxist slogans.

The reformist, parliamentary nature of the ÖDP, EMEP and their derivatives, which came from the revolutionary organizations of the past, today cannot go beyond defending bourgeois

democracy, should be carefully considered. It is imperative to keep in mind how reformism, parliamentarianism, follows a repetitive ideological and political line. How social chauvinism (the oppressor nation nationalism disguised in the ranks of the revolution with a socialist mask, also called thinned nationalism), in one swift move, obscures the right of the Kurdish nation to establish, lending a hand to the oppressing nation's bourgeoisie, and on the other hand it extends one hand to the bourgeoisie of the oppressed nation, saying: "Fortunately for you, you argue that you gave up establishing your own state in the name of compromise." The ideological source of their claim is one and the same. The character of opportunism, which in all its versions derives from the ranks of the revolutionary working class, is bourgeois class collaboration. It must be known that under no circumstances can bourgeois class cooperation be made without distorting Marxism. When they give up revolutionary power, they cannot defend Marxism, because Marxism, Leninism, Maoism is the ideology of revolutionary power. It refuses to come to terms with the bourgeoisie. On the other hand, "Marxists" who get along with the bourgeoisie must distort Marxism in order to maintain this goal. Because opportunism does not outright deny Marxism. As Lenin emphasized, the theoretical power of Marxism forces even those who betray Marxism to appear in a Marxist cover. Because Marxism is the flag of liberation in the hands of millions of oppressed classes. Therefore, let's not forget the general character of opportunism. The essence and purpose of opportunism, which sprouts both outside and within our party, is the same. As you know, EMEP, ÖDP, SİP, SDP, TKP define themselves as the most ferocious socialists, Marxists. It is more important to know what character these reformist parties actually are than how they define themselves. These parties and their derivatives use Marxism as a mask. Didn't the parties of the 2nd International, led by Kautsky, Mensheviks, Plekhanevers, Trotskyists, Chen Tao Tao and Chen Due Su use Marxism as a mask themselves? Didn't the Hoxhaists who openly attacked Maoism do this? Didn't the Coordination Committee (C.C) Abroad Faction present themselves as the fiercest Marxists?

Now the "3rd Congress" declared that it has made contribution to the working class, our party and Marxism by repeating the Kautskyist, Hoxhaist, Trotskyist, bourgeois globalist theoretical slurry. However, the history of our party has passed with ideological struggle and political line struggle against these trends. Is it that simple to throw aside 42 years of history in a snap?

It is impossible to defend both right-wing opportunist ideology and Kaypakkaya. If you have abandoned the Kaypakkaya party line, you will stop showing yourself Kaypakkaya's defender, you will be open and honest. There is no Marxism for you, no Kaypakkaya for you. In the problems and evaluations of the state and revolution socialism, the problem of the dictatorship of the proletariat, the approach to the national movement, the organization of the revolutionary class-conscious proletariat in the oppressed nation, the dual duties communists in the oppressor and the oppressed nation, the establishment of classes, the driving forces of the revolution, the question of alliances, the nature of the revolution, the understanding of the "3rd Congress" and the theoretical, political and organizational understanding of Kaypakkaya do not agree with the evaluation of imperialism and the revolutionary strategy and political line put forward in connection with all these. This is essentially the incompatibility and conflict

between the ideology of our party and the bourgeois ideology upheld by the class-compromising opportunist "3rd Congress".

The "3rd Congress" eliminated the principle of democratic centralism and the struggle of two lines, and was thrown ideologically as well as being putschist with the understanding of clique mentality. Coupism is fueled by ideological drift. Right opportunism left its mark on the ideology of the "3rd Congress". It is unthinkable that the line, which is in an ideological drift, will stick to the aim of the dictatorship of the proletariat. One has to not know anything about Marxism in order to expect the "3rd Congress" to reveal the dialectical development of the line of People's War in accordance with the objective conditions. Let us stop developing the understanding of the people's war, because they declared to abandon the war in the first place! A party deviating from Marxism can neither establish its internal democracy, adhere to the revolutionary political cause, nor adhere to organizations that use revolutionary radical means for this cause. The "3rd Congress" is no exception, their mentality is "The movement is everything, the goal is nothing". They say, with the flag of "change", the goal is nothing.

The "3rd Congress" is in an eclecticism that is difficult to define in ideological and political lines. It is based on acting as it suits you. When these new Hoxhaists waving the Maoist flag came to work, they appeared as "new" Kaypakkayaists with the theory they derived from Kautskyism or bourgeois globalization civil societyism. Eclecticism is characterized by not dealing with facts, their contradictions, their infinite aspects and all their qualities in an encompassing way, but only those aspects of phenomena that benefit them. There is such a storm of change that it is not possible to reach a single consistent theoretical unity. With the subjectivist eclectic method of thinking, they replaced the designs in their minds with the objective facts outside themselves. It is the bourgeois way of thinking to take only what suits them. It is the bourgeois method of not dealing with class contradictions in a multifaceted way and taking what works for themselves while hiding the facts. This is the approach that opportunism cannot give up. In organizational terms, applying the rules that suits their interests with a factionalist, clique approach, not applying the rules that do not benefit their interests; this is the common character of the Central Committee that was brought in by the 2nd Congress and also the "3rd Congress" leadership. This putschist understanding adapts principles for themselves. The organizational line of the CC, which led from the 2nd Congress to the "3rd Congress", has been stained with factionalism, clique-ism, eclecticism and putschism rather than democratic centralism. The "3rd Congress" is the most concrete opportunist finale of this rotten, corrupt trend.

As we will continue to emphasize frequently, we neither recognize nor contribute to the shaping of the ideology and political line announced in the "3rd Congress". Therefore, we will explain not only the putschism of the "3rd Congress", but also the ideological and political deviation from the line of our party.

"3rd Congress" has falsified the Leninist theory of imperialism. We will respond to their deviations on the theory of imperialism in our later statements. The "3rd Congress" met with

the bourgeois globalization-globalist approach with Kautsky's theory of ultra-imperialism, which made monopoly capitalism cute, humanized and gave a peaceful character. With its understanding of "global imperialism / capitalism", they brought a "new" stage to the Leninist imperialism perspective. Yet there is nothing new in these revisionist theories.

The MKP 2nd Conference (1981) responded to the Hoxhaist revisionist movement, which brought a new stage to imperialism. Enemy of Maoism, Enver Hoxha repeats the theory of "multinational corporations", which rediscovers imperialism's existing qualities as if it were a new phenomenon. The "3rd Congress" also pretends to have discovered "new" things. Not only that, it brings together the deception and fallacy of "free competition and free trade", which are the ideological manipulation of the bourgeois globalization current in the era of imperialism-monopoly capitalism.

The MKP 2nd Conference meticulously responded to the Hoxhaist revisionist movement that was trying to bring "new" features to imperialism.

Likewise, the 1st Congress of the MKP (2002) responded to the trend that brought a new dimension to imperialism with the fallacy of "global capitalism" that gained momentum in the 1980s and gradually increased. We suggest looking at Class Theory Issue: 1 (2003) on this topic.

The Hoxhaist, Trotskyist, Kautskyist bourgeois globalization revisionist ideology condemned by our party is upheld by the "3rd Congress" with the theory of "global imperialism".

In the "3rd Congress", there are fundamental principal deviations that are in contradiction with the ideology and political line of our party and openly reject it.

Although they accept the dictatorship of the proletariat in words, they have distorted the point of view of the dictatorship of the proletariat - from the point of view of the "new state of the working people". There is a deviation in the Marxist doctrine of the state. Classes, state affiliation, are mixed. They have made the government power.

The "3rd Congress" rejected the worker-peasant alliance. It has wiped out the peasantry in an instant. The decline of the peasantry does not stop the working peasantry from being the alliance of the working class among the driving forces of the revolution. It is Trotskyism to deny the role of the working peasantry in the revolution. The "3rd Congress" has adopted the Trotskyist understanding. Representing the Hoxhaist-Trotskyist line in our country, the understanding of organizations such as TKİP, TİKB, MLKP, TDKP has been adopted by the "3rd Congress".

The Turkish state is a fascist state dependent on imperialism. The Kurdish nation is under oppression. Fascism is a permanent form of government. Even if the peasantry decreases, feudal production, in other words, peasant production, which is simple commodity production, still exists to a certain extent. Guerrilla warfare is not only determining the political agenda in Turkey, but also in Kurdistan and the Middle East, it is still important in carrying the people's revolutionary struggle line forward. The original form of People's War cannot be subordinated

to guerrilla warfare. The "3rd Congress" has subordinated Guerrilla Warfare. The "3rd Congress" line has the character to completely abolish the organization of the People's Liberation Army. The only reason why the guerrilla war has not been terminated is the resistance shown against this right-wing consensus within the party. Although they did not end the guerrilla war, they made it a secondary position.

The strategy of "Socialist People's War", which they have made up, is eclectic, subjectivist and groundless. It is a repetition of the on-paper military strategies of many anti-Maoist organizations that reject guerrilla warfare, embellished with abundant military terminology. This strategy, basically laconic, is the theory of not fighting. It doesn't have a new side either. Those who reduce Guerrilla warfare to a secondary position in the case of Turkey-North Kurdistan and the Middle East political developments, have broken away from class developments. Although the revolutionary situation is determined in our words, they lack the will and understanding to prepare and continue the revolutionary war.

What kind of war strategy will the "3rd Congress" follow without giving account for our 24 comrades who fell into the hands of the enemy? First, they must account for group resignations, corruption and surrender. Without honest account of these, revolutionary war cannot be developed with baseless sketches and empty words. The "3rd Congress" concealed the truth from the party and masses on this matter too.

In 2011, the CC concluded that the Kurdish National Movement (KNM) lost its revolutionary character and defined the Kurdish National Movement as reformist. In other words, they changed the decision and understanding of the congress in a putschist style.

Our party is based on the Leninist perspective in its approach to the national question and national movement. It adopted and defended the separation between the national revolutionary movement and the national reformist movement with its Leninist content, which was also adopted by the Communist International. The "3rd Congress" in its assessment of the KNM, the Congress distorted our party's understanding of the national problem and the nature of national movements, and the distinction between reformist and revolutionary national movements as to who we should support or not. Our party has not so far had an understanding of supporting national movements, which it describes as reformist. We only support revolutionary national movements.

The "3rd Congress" also participated in the opportunist bloc, which has a distorted understanding of the national question that we are fighting ideologically.

The necessary answers will be given to the deviations of the "3rd Congress", which sees the bourgeoisie of the oppressor and oppressed nation as completely monopolistic and comprador, stating that there are no national enterprises, denying the existence of the peasantry, by making imperialism internal, eliminates the contradiction between the semi-colonial countries and the imperialist countries, and more that we will not count here.

The "3rd Congress" was tainted by putschism. It violated internal democracy. But it presents itself as the representative of ultra-democracy. Those who read the smooth, massive, complete designs of democracy will not be able to admire these "new" Hoxhas. Because those who draw smooth socialism designs are not new. They have "constructed" the "freedom of the people" with designs and fancy but hollow sentences. In the "3rd Congress" evaluation of socialism, socialism has fallen into the reserve of the bourgeoisie, and considers the history of the struggle through negativities. The "3rd Congress" sees socialist states as the blind dungeon of nations and peoples. Moreover, by means of mass distortions and demagogy ...

Since the understanding of the dictatorship of the proletariat, which is the broadest democracy, no longer meets the understanding of democracy of the "3rd Congress", they now say "the new state of the working people" instead of "the dictatorship of the proletariat".

It is a historical irony that the "3rd Congress", which failed to protect the party democracy let alone advance it, now claims they have contributed (!) to the understanding of proletarian dictatorship-proletarian democracy, which is the revolutionary power of the workers and peasants.

Where are the results of the investigation into the murder of our 17 comrades (on 17 June 2005)? The 2nd Congress had set the party the task of investigating the situation of the 17s and making it public with the results. The "3rd Congress" did not make a single sentence on this issue. So, our 17 comrades are not of much importance for this clique of liquidators? So, it means the loss of party leadership was not very important for them! For them, there is no need to learn the reasons for receiving such a heavy blow! This is an understanding which is incompatible with the seriousness of the organization. There is no explanation.

The "3rd Congress" right liquidator clique tries to prove how fair and democratic they are by constantly repeating certain negativities in the history of our party. Although our party has given self-criticism about torture, the issue of torture is constantly brought to surface. Those who cooperated with the enemy in the murder of our comrades, who led to some of them being taken as prisoners, who tried to restore the honor of agents and collaborators identified as informers, now do not see any need to investigate the murder of our 17 comrades, who were the leadership of our party. Let yourself "re-enlighten" the historical processes that are clear and unambiguous. The crimes Agent N.T and his crew committed against the party and the people are obvious. Tell us about our comrades who were killed through them. Clarify the murder of our 17 comrades! The "3rd Congress" sows the seeds of distrust by processing the history of the party and some past issues, through its negativities.

The problem of women has also repeated bourgeois ideas from a sexist, not a class point of view. It has moved far enough from Marxism to call the state apparatus the "male state".

The "3rd Congress" claims that the structure of the classes has changed at the current stage. They do not consider class analysis of Marxism sufficient. The bourgeoisie has been repeating these nonsense for decades.

In short, the "3rd Congress" evaluates imperialism, socio-economic structure, handling contradictions, national problem, explaining the economic and social basis of the national question, the understanding of organization, the ideology of communism, the people's democratic revolution, socialism, communism, the ideology of our party in Marxism, Leninism, and Maoism with its distortion of political understanding.

The issues we have summarized are essential for the party. For this reason, the party crisis we face is not just an organizational issue. Our party faces the threat and attack of the most comprehensive ideological transformation in its history, deviating from the communist line. The "3rd Congress" is a congress of a group, not a party, held with cheaper, fraudulent, anti-democratic methods. Our party's responses to this and similar revisionist ideology and line should be scrutinized. There is no new content in what the "3rd Congress" says. Our party has responded to the defenders of "global imperialism", to Trotskyists who deny the role of the working peasantry in the revolutionary struggle, to Hoxhaists, to "socialists" who see the dictatorship of the proletariat as terrifying. Let's know how to learn. Let's grasp the Marxist, Leninist, Maoist ideological unity of the Kaypakkaya route. "3rd Congress" is a bend in our revolution march, it will be overcome.