Note: Because the translator is not well-versed enough in the English language, and that the translator does not know all persons/

entities/channels/websites mentioned in the article and don't have the time to check all of them, it is inevitable that there are mistranslations and grammatical mistakes. The reader should read with caution and refer to the original document in Chinese when in doubt. Quotes from historical figures are translated from Chinese. Notes in brackets [] are added by the translator.

Preface^[1] to "A Basic Book List of Marxist-Leninist-Maoist^[2]" published by the Maoist Communist Party of China on their official newspaper

channel *Chinese Proletarian Struggles* [3] on Telegram

Disclaimer: The translator in no way represents the Maoist Communist Party of China, and is not a party member. This <u>unofficial</u> translation is meant to give comrades internationally important new information about the MCPC, since the articles they published years ago do not represent their position now. They also recently published an article clarifying the facts of the Jasic Struggle in 2018, which they declared to be the leader of. In that article, they clarified the-

Turning Theory into the Weapon of Class Struggle

We are releasing this book list to mobilize advanced workers, comrades integrating with the working class and progressive students to carefully read and study, so as to thoroughly understand Marxism-Leninism-Maoism. But why read theoretical works? This may seem to be a bizarre question at first glance. Intellectuals are always proud of being able to read books and being cultural. They think reading books is the most important thing to do, abiding by the earth's and heaven's principles. How do they know the four ways of writing the hui character if they don't read books; how can they deceive workers without reading books; how do they become mental aristocracies who have both fame and fortune while not reading books? The various bourgeois intellectuals disguised as Marxists are especially keen in eating up books and urging somebody to read books. During the Jasic Struggle, bourgeois Royalist, PKU professor Gong Xiantian [巩献田] once wrote two open letters to Qiu Zhanxuan [邱占萱] in succession, referencing classics as if reading off a menu, accusing the PKU Marxist Society of "understanding very little and lacking awareness of "Marxism as a theoretical system" with sputtering and spluttering, while at the same time taking great pains to ask the progressive students to thoroughly read all volumes of the Collected Works of Marx, Engels, Lenin, Stalin and Mao, and also to "systematically understand the basic works relevant to the three basic components of Marxism, and study relevant natural, social sciences etc. Look, how many books are waiting to be read!"

If some people believe this professor's high opinion and think this is the right way of studying Marxism, they will be overly naive. Gong Xiantian asking the PKU Marxist Society to read books was not purposed for encouraging them to engage in boiling real life and class struggle; on the contrary, he was pushing enlightened youth away from the rails of struggles by asking them to read books. "Don't view the Internet, don't act at every turn." He said, although the PKU Marxist Society "overly" cares about the matters in society, their "serious fault" was not about "thought and cognition", but at "carrying out activities against regulations" and organizing protest activities including shouting slogans and upholding signs; it turned into "'Action Committee' of not focusing on reading books and studying, but are enthusiastic of action". Even the PKU Marxist Society exposing the ugly reality of logistics workers by releasing an investigation report, was disrupting social order, obstructing national stability, was "lacking in a dialectical viewpoint". When intense political struggle was unfolding, with the police arresting workers and students, and the Jasic Worker Support Group having to use all its power to resist, Gong Xiantian did not care about the condition and awakening of the proletariat, about the fate of arrested advanced workers, but ordered progressive students by "don't act" and honestly read dead books. Isn't his real intentions crystal clear?

Using "study theory" as disguise to prevent the working class carrying out revolutionary struggle is an old trick played by capitalist roaders a long time ago. It is not something new. In 1975, Deng Xiaoping brought out "Abiding by Three Directives[三项指示为纲]", in which he put learning theory at the first place. Did this really mean the head of capitalist roaders who never read newspapers and books, want to study the theory of the dictatorship of the proletariat? Deng Xiaoping's throwing-out of this black programme was purely aimed at denying class struggle and Chairman Mao's revolutionary line, at restoring capitalism. Today, those opportunists who refuse to integrate with the working class, who oppose the Jasic Struggle, inherited exactly this counter-revolutionary tactic from their grandmasters; they divides theory and practice to the extreme, coupled with extreme vulgarization and unscrupulous

Link to the article: https://telegra.ph/Ten-lies-and-ten-facts-about-the-Jasic-Struggle-08-22

⁻ rumor that the party upholding Bo Xilai was misinformation first produced by liberals on Open Magazine. The reader can find the article on their Telegram channel.

^[1]Link to the Preface: https://telegra.ph/Preface-to-the-Booklist-10-17

^[2]Link to the Book List: https://telegra.ph/A-Basic-Book-List-Of-Marxist-Leninist-Maoist-09-10

^[3]Link to the newspaper channel Chinese Proletarian Struggles [中国无产阶级斗争报]: https://t.me/proletarianstruggles

distortion, turning revolutionary weapon of thought into harmless decorations or boring bantering that the ruling class can tolerate. The petite bourgeoisie self-proclaimed as proletarians and self-proclaimed leftist students can be seen everywhere on the Internet. They are indulged in boastful debates, drown in all kinds of fashionable reactionary thought and popular fake Marxism, with mouths filled with randomly grabbed hollow rhetoric from bourgeois academia and "postmodernist" technical terms, using theory as the capital of showing off knowledge and gaining fame; but because these keyboard politicians are extremely withdrawn from the working class masses and refuse to take part in hard productive labor in factories and dangerous illegal class struggle, they do not understand one bit of revolutionary theory, and their ideologies are extremely confused. These petite bourgeois individuals are living in self-entertaining small circles, sometimes are being bitter and despairing about prospective bankruptcy, sometimes craze about reactionary fantasies, sometimes banter about distorted images of historical figures, with the rest of the time doing hollow talks to beat time, acquiring self satisfaction in cursing, babbling and gossiping; they are destined to become more and more stupid, more and more corrupt. All youth students wanting progress and advancement should stay away from these mud puds.

It is exactly for the purpose of countering those spellbinders who pride themselves over theoretical sophistication but shout to the working class movement "Read books, don't act!" from their study room while struggles intensify and heat up, for the purpose of countering the habitat of the petite bourgeoisie doing hollow talks divorced from praxis, the Maoist Communist Party of China banned theoretical debates in groups established by us (these debates by the large part are meaningless hollow talks, with a small part being attacks from opportunists) and especially emphasized on the position that the revolutionary intellectuals must join the ranks of the workers, self-remold oneself during production labor, overcome the shortcomings of liking hollow talks and worshiping theory. For this purpose, the Party proposed a number of practical tasks: integrating with the workers in factories, investigating conditions, exposing and agitating politically, developing the organization, leading struggles -- these are still our primary tasks right now, but bourgeois intellectuals will naturally attack revolutionaries of "not having theory and not reading books, being blind, adventurist and infantile". However, it is exactly the Guangzhou Reading Club event of 2017 that proved the Xi Jinping autocratic regime cannot tolerate theory-studying events organized by our Party at all, because this is truly reading books for the revolution, studying theory for guiding practice. The police not only bans all publications, articles and remarks of us, but also arrests our communicators, hunts down everyone who spreads our information. As for Weimingzi^[1], Fred Engst^[2] and other opportunists, they can freely harangue and spread revisionism on the Internet within the Wall. The police do not need to worry about them at all. Who uses theory to guide struggles, who uses reading books as an excuse to obstruct struggles, isn't this clear-cut?

From the last imperialist era ending in 1917, the wheel of world history went through four different stages. The Russia October Revolution started the Era of Proletarian Socialist Revolution from 1917 to 1949; the founding of New China started the Era of National Democratic Revolutions in Colonies; between the capitalist restoration in China in 1976 and the 2008 financial crisis was the Neoliberal Era. From 2008 to today, as world history again enters the corrupt and dying Imperialist Stage, the economical prosperity in the neoliberal era is being replaced by constant crisis, recessions and depression. The lies of free competition and bourgeois democracy are on the verge of bankruptcy, imperialist wars and proletarian revolutions are pressing on each step. "The end of history" was ended, "the farewell to revolutions" was farewelled, every step forward of the human society is proving the truth nature of Marxism with countless proof of steel. Marxism's theoretical victory forces its enemies to have to put on the camouflage of being Marxists, and the re-enter of the proletariat into world stage inevitably lured in opportunists, so the spread of revisionism around the world is not a wonder. During the Cultural Revolution, all kinds of counter-revolutionaries had been forced to put on the mask of Mao Zedong Thought before they dared to enter the stage. Now, many years later, China presents a even more chaotic situation: all bourgeois factions are producing political liars of fake Marxists and representatives of the workers' interests in bulk, attempting at using lies to bury workers' liberation. Even the Jasic

capitalists organized managers and went to Jinggang Mountains to fake the Red Army! To besiege real Communists, the European bourgeois parties in 1848 all landed the crime of "communism" to each, the bourgeois factions of China in 1966 and from 2018 onwards all put on the mask of "communism", this is exactly the dialectics of history. However, regardless of whatever kinds of lies that falsify Marxism, there is only one truth. If workers and students wanting progress do not carefully study works of Marxism-Leninism-Maoism, do not understand to judge one person's real face with actions rather than self-proclamation, then they will be fooled by all kinds of unscrupulous politicians, they will be unable to tell apart capitalism (especially democracy) and socialism. Revisionists will never appear as how their grandmasters appeared in history, but will inevitably mutate and change as time progresses. We must master revolutionary theory, so that we can see through the endless camouflages generated by these liars. Specifically in today's China, Marxism has been distorted to the bourgeois ideologies of Nationalism, Liberalism and Democracy, each corresponding to Royalists (the Order Party, the Black Syndicate), Liberals (Republicans, Constitutionalists) and Democrats (Radicals, Populists). Enlightented workers must understand the class interests behind the three big factions (or political parties, as the same thing), be aware of the general manifestations and basic characteristics of different ideologies, learn to identify these chameleons in different environments, know what lies they produced and how they are disguised as Marxists. For now, we will not observe Anarchism that reflects the mental state of the bankrupt person and hobos and leave it for future critique.

Nationalism or Statism, Conservatism, represents the interests of the most reactionary State Machinery (and at the same time the largest land owner), bureaucrat monopoly capital and big bourgeoisie. Its adherences are labor aristocrats and the petite bourgeoisie who are directly or indirectly dependent on the bureaucrat bourgeoisie or big bourgeoisie, dreaming about getting a share of the super-profit gained from Chinese monopoly capital's expansion. The once fashionable thought of "Ruguan Xue"[1] is a direct reflection of this type of economic interest. The bureaucrat bougeoisie wants to suppress domestic class struggle, centralize all capital in China into a gigantic State monopolized capital group, and scramble for world market and colonies with old imperialist countries. Nationalist Royalists generated a series of reactionary theory. They deny that the main enemy of the Chinese working class is the Chinese autocratic capital regime, deny that China has become an imperialist country; some distort Marx as "a pure Chinese hiding in the West" and try to "mix" Confucius and Marx^[2]; some paint Xi Jinping as "healthy power within the Party" and "modern Mao Zedong", using the banner of patriotism to ask for the workers to be obedient to the rule of the bourgeois autocratic state, obeying the order of capitalism. If the proletariat dare to resist, the Royalists will defame the advanced workers and the Maoist Communist Party of China as foreign powers, liberals, Han traitors and even American spies, just like what the official news agency Xinhua did during the Jasic Struggle. These Chinese imperialists greedily and brutally boast external war and capital export, carrying out delusional attempts to pillage and enslave other nations, just like how imperialist powers in history pillaged China; at the same time, they shamelessly break up the Thought of Chairman Mao, taking words like "Anti-Japan National United Front" out of context to ask the Chinese workers to become the canon ash in the future hegemony contending war between the US and China. But when the Chinese government inevitably meets military defeat in the imperialist war, when the socialist workers' movement becomes strong enough to threaten the entire bourgeois rule, the Chinese bureaucrat bourgeoisie and the most patriotic nationalists in words will immediately embrace private capitalists and international monopoly capital and concede to the Liberals and Democrats by implementing some concessions like reforms in liberalization of politics to win over the support of the liberal bourgeoisie, surrender in the hegemony contending war and sign the 21th century Treaty of Versailles; they will not hesitate to make a deal with any foreigner to the detriment of the Chinese people, and may even ask the US military to intervene in the Revolutionary Domestic War, and massacre the exploited toiling masses. At the same time, these despicable reactionaries will deny the historical inevitability of China's failure in the

^[1]Note: 入关学 ("break through studies"), a network catch phrase of some sort where online nationalists boasts fantasies about China entering imperialist countries' territories.

^[2] Note: this refers to a TV program in October 2023 on Chinese television where they scripted Marx and Confucius to have a meeting, in which they scripted Marx saying he feels he is totally Chinese now.

imperialist war, lying like Hitler's fascists, arousing hatred for communists that "stabbed in the back".

As the "Führer" of the autocratic state, Xi Jinping is the biggest nationalist, and at the same time the biggest fake Marxist. The Chinese bureaucrat bourgeoisie he represents is the biggest enemy of the Chinese proletariat and the toiling masses in colonies of Chinese imperialism, is the top murderer that cracked down brutally on the Jasic Struggle and countless workers who rebelled against capital's rule. Aside from Xi Jinping, the head of reaction, there are a great number of bourgeois statists that are masked as leftists, such as Weimingzi 未明子 (Liu Simo 刘司墨), redchinacn.net (Li Minqi 李民琪), Yan Yuanzhang 严元章 (Zhang Yaozu 张耀祖), Xiaoyuehankehan [Little John Khan] 小约翰可汗, Si Xiang Huo Ju [Thought Torch] 思想火炬 (Zhu Jidong 朱 继东), Xin Chao Chen Si Lu [Meditations on a New Wave] 新潮沉思录, mzfxw.com 民族复兴网 (Zhang Hongliang 张宏良), countryside constructionists 乡建派 (Wen Tiejun 温铁军), Guo Songmin 郭松民 (Kunlun Ce 昆仑策), wyzxwk.com 乌有之乡 (Fan Jinggang 范景刚, Han Degiang 韩德强), szhgh.com 深圳红歌会 (Wu Ming 吴铭), WeChat public account "Bao Ma 保马", "Da Lang Tao Sha [Dredging up sand from a great river] 大浪淘沙", "Ke Xue De Li Shi Guan [Scientific View of History] 科学的历史观 (Ma Ning 马宁)", "Zai Ma Ke Si Zhu Yi De Qi Zhi Xia/Lun Ju Yu Shi Shi [Under the Flag of Marxism/Arguments and Facts] 在马克 思主义的旗帜下/论据与事实 (Li Zhuoru 李卓儒)", and guancha.cn 观察者网/huanqiu.com 环球时报, Li Guangman (guancha) 李光敏 (察网), former chief writer of nfcmag.com [Nan Feng Chuang 南风窗] Li Guangman (李广满), Ai Yuejin 艾跃进, Shen Yi 沈毅, Zhang Weiwei 张维为, Si Manan 司马南, Jin Canrong 金灿荣, Jin Yinan 金一南, He Xin 何新, Dai Xu 戴旭, Wu Jing 吴京, Liu Cixin 刘慈欣, Cao Fengze 曹丰泽, Zhou Xiaoping 周小平, Hua Qianfang 花千芳, Lu Kewen 卢克文, Gu Yan Mu Chan [Lonely smoke and twilight cicadas]孤烟暮蝉 (Shu Chang 舒畅) and other "patriotic opinion leaders"...etc. all belong to this faction. Weimingzi once played the common trick of Liberals, i.e. attacking Zuoyi23 佐伊23 of collecting information of volunteer participants in [social] investigation programs for the autocratic regime, attacking Fred Engst of holding a teaching position within the apparatus so is unreliable, putting on the costume of a pragmatic person who has no background. Along with Weimingzi using bars as a activity base like common liberals, selling soda water as a business, loving to pile up obscure philosophical rhetoric and even creating new words and concepts as disguise and creating a viewing threshold, fearing his true colors are seen through, and we do not have the time to watch what black material he is hiding in his stinking and long videos, thus we made the mistake of classifying him as a liberal attempting legal reforms in our article "Foxconn Workers Are Roaring!"[1] last year. Now we are correcting our mistake and make a clarification: Weimingzi is actually a conservative who embraces the capital autocratic government, except that his tactic of using Hegel as a mask is very different from that of old Royalists distorting Chairman Mao. Maybe some day Weimingzi will expose his face as a nationalist liberal, but at least at present his reactionary position of supporting the Russian oligarch regime in the Russia-Ukraine War is enough to prove that he is a lapdog of the Chinese government.

Liberalism represents international monopoly capital and private capitalists, absorbing believers from all stratas of the bourgeoisie, reflecting the general interests of capitalism; its adherences are petite bourgeois intellectuals who are directly or indirectly dependent on old imperialist bourgeoisie and private capitalists, dreaming about acquiring a share of the super-profit of international monopoly capital. In the domestic sphere, the liberal bourgeoisie wishes to break up the monopoly of bureaucratic capital in bank, communication, energy, transportation and other key industries as well as in land, so that foreign and private capital can enter these lucrative departments like how Russian and Ukrainian oligarchs robbed the legacy of revisionist Soviet social imperialism through complete privatization and liberalization, so that they can be free from governmental supervision, tax burdens, extortion and blackmailing from bureaucrats, in order to protect "the sanctity of private property"; in the international sphere, liberalism daydreams about preventing war by making peace with US imperialism, or delusionally attempts to join the ranks of international monopoly bourgeoisie and divide up China and exploit the world together. Liberals deny the bourgeois nature of the Chinese autocratic state and even forcefully paint this modern bourgeois regime with colors of socialism and feudalism, for which they prescribe their political solutions of: enacting a constitution, enforcing a constitutional government, convening a parliament, implementing the separation of powers, federalism, judicial independence, freedom of speech, a

multi-party system, universal suffrage...... etc., becuase in a liberal constitutional republic, capital can seize state power in a most reliable, most stable way and rule over wage labor. The impacts of Chinese Liberals reached its peak in 2008 at the release of Charter 08, then encountered its historical defeat due to world economical crisis; the neoliberal lies such as attributing disparity between rich and poor to personal subjective effort are being spurned by more and more people. Due to the lack in ability to explain the deterioration of liberalism and the rise of nationalism, due the hope of deceiving the masses and using the masses to pull the chestnuts for them [that is the liberals stealing victory], due to the delusions of seizing political peacefully becoming shattered, liberals are more and more exposing their nature of extreme hatred for most of the People, so much so that there are more and more so-called internalized racist liberals with mouths full of "Shina swine", openly praising the history of massacring the Chinese People by Japanese invasion forces, boast that China should be colonized; they are even more reactionary than their grandmasters of landlords and compradors of Republic of China. Liberals boast freedom of speech that is above class and universal, but as soon as political power falls into their hands, the first thing Liberals will do is to ban all socialist revolution propaganda, arrest and murder members of the Maoist Communist Party of China, announce the illegality of our Party, shamelessly make up all kinds of despicable criminal charge (such as us upholding the autocratic state, or even plant the crime of us being the autocratic state, landing the hat of terrorism, anarchism, fascism on the heads of revolutionary workers, and much more), and at the same time, "forgivingly" leave some fake Marxists, i.e. the petite bourgeois Democrats, to decorate the parliament and claim this is "class reconciliation" and "freedom of speech". If the proletariat rise to rebel, wanting to overthrow the capitalist system, then the private capitalists and liberals will immediately kiss and collaborate with the most reactionary bureaucrats and nationalists, fund the "liberal army" composed of the Old Military and the police, so as to crack down on communists, massacre workers, even to keep or restore the autocratic state and enact fascist dictatorship. Liberals are spread across the inside and outside of the Chinese government; they have the power just second to the nationalist bourgeois factions and are also reactionaries, are the enemy to proletarian revolution. Former Chinese Characteristics Party General Secretary Zhao Ziyang, former Premier Wen Jiabao, Nobel Peace Award winner Liu Xiaobo, real estate capitalist Ren Zhiqiang 任志强, Unirule Institute of Economics Mao Yushi 茅于轼, economist Wu Jinglian 吴敬琏, professor of China University of Political Science and Law Luo Xiang 罗翔, legalist He Weifang 贺卫方, popular science writer Fang Zhouzi 方舟子 (Fang Shimin 方是民), Nobel Literature Award winner Mo Yan (Guan Moye), history teacher Yuan Tengfei 袁腾飞, Southern Weekly and The Beijing News and other Southern news agencies, journalist Chai Jing 柴静, musician Gao Xiaosong 高 晓松, actor Yuan Li 袁立, artist Chen Danging 陈丹青, former NPC representative Xu Zhiyong 许志永, Bian Cheng Sui Xiang 编程随想 (Information Systems Security Engineer of Beijing Olympics Ruan Xiaohuan 阮晓 寰), former professor of Central Party School Cai Xia 蔡霞, Open Magazine Wang Haitao 汪海涛, Photon Media advisory board member Jin Zhong 金钟, separatist Liu Zhongjing 刘仲敬, "Provincial Proletariat Union[1]" Yang Xiguang 杨曦光 (i.e. economist Yang Xiaokai 杨小凯), German academic Xiang Guanqi 项观 奇, WeChat public account "Hai Bian De Xi Sai Luo [Seaside Cicero] 海边的西塞罗", Telegram Channel "Zhong Guo Zuo Yi Min Zhu Lian Meng [Democratic Union of Chinese Left-wing] 中国左翼民主联盟" and "Zhong Guo Gong Chan Zhu Yi Gong Ren Dang[2]中国共产主义工人党", various "social libertarians" or "libertarian socialists", Sitong Bridge 四通桥 protester Peng Zaizhou (real name Peng Lifa), and participants of the "White Paper Movement" [3]...and others, are all liberals. Among them, Yang Xiguang, Cai Xia, Xiang Guanqi all put on the costume of being a Marxist either in the past or at present, using various methods to deceive the petite bourgeoisie and workers, such as distorting Marx's works on freedom, painting Marx as an individualist, boasting "multi-party socialism" and "constitutional socialism" and more. During the Neoliberal Era, almost all liberals attacked socialist countries in the 20th century with hatred deep to the bones, wantonly insult and vilify proletarian revolutionaries, especially Lenin, Stalin and Mao Zedong; liberals nowadays, like Open Magazine and Photon Media, also shamelessly produces slanders and defamation of the Maoist

^[1]Note: 省无联, organization during the GPCR

^[2]Note: literally translated as Communist Workers' Party of China, but I don't think they call themselves that.

^[3]Note: Here the MCPC is not referring to people protesting to end the strict covid-19 containment policy after the fire in Xinjiang in 2022, but rather people who consciously held up a piece of white paper among all other protesters and have specific political aims and slogans. The reader should pay attention to differentiate between the spontaneous anti-containment movement (with participants of spontaneous petite bourgeois masses) and politically-conscious White Paper Movement (with participants of conscious Liberals).

Communist Party of China. However, as capitalist crisis deepens, there will be more liberals putting on the red skin of Marxism as disguise to deceive workers.

In the Imperialist Era, due to facing the same enemy - first the domestic proletariat, then the toiling masses of colonies, and finally imperialist bourgeoisie in the enemy camp, the antagonisms between the Chinese bureaucrat bourgeoisie and private capitalists are becoming more and more negligible, there are no unbridgeable divide between the two parties. Naturally, there will be swinging and side-shifting elements between the two counter-revolutionary factions, such as Hu Jinxin, former Young China (aka YCA) now Shui Qian Xiao Xi [Messages Before Sleep睡前消息] Ma Qianzu 马前卒 (Ren Chonghao 任冲昊), Wang Xizhe 王希哲 of "Li Yizhe 李一哲" etc., but this does not influence basic recognition of their camps. The important problem is the class interest different factions represent, not the path of how the thought of some famous individuals changed. As the Chinese private capitalists are becoming more and more dependent on the "fire and sword" of the government and the military to go overseas to compete for markets, but at the same time do not want the bureaucrats to solely hold political power, they formed a faction of patriotic nationalist liberals and "Octobrist Party" that support Chinese imperialism's hegemony contention, pursuing a constitutional system that is mild, based on bicameral legislative assembly and anti-democratic electoral laws with strictly restrictive election eligibility. As for the liberals who want to divide up China into colonies, who support old imperialist powers like the US and Japan in the hegemony contention, are actually US Imperialists or International Imperialists; their antagonisms with nationalists are more about antagonisms between imperialist countries in nature. But regardless of the the types of reactionaries, no matter the trivial differences in their internal colors, the Nationalists and the Liberals are the family on the same boat; no matter the fortune bragging "Bei Ji Nian Yu 北极鲶鱼[Artic Catfish]" Zhong Shuhui 钟淑卉, or the Hubei Writers' Association Chairman Fang Fang (Wang Fang) who wrote Wuhan Diary, they "have no tension with the State". In front of World Proletarian Revolution and National Liberation Revolutions of the toiling masses in colonies, the differences between the two factions are nothing more than internal antagonisms of the ruling class, are the dog-bite-dog struggle between robbers. The bureaucrats and capitalists are all enemies of the working class, communists need to utilize the internal antagonisms within the enemies to attack them, but hold absolutely no support for any faction.

Nationalism and Liberalism directly represent the interests of different factions within the bourgeoisie, on the other hand, Democracy is against the big private owners on the position of small private owners, advocating bourgeois democratic revolutions and "radical" movements, worshiping abstract democratic principles, opposing monopoly capital and the autocratic state from the position of "the entire People", demanding to divide up a few large capital into multiple small capital, dreaming of having "peace", "democracy" and "equality" while preserving private ownership, holding superstitions of introducing some social reforms and political reforms while not going beyond the realm of capitalism; it directly reflects the sentiments of the populous urban-rural petite bourgeoisie, but because it does not touch the basis of capitalism, it foundationally still belongs to the bourgeois camp. Therefore, Revolutionary Teachers of Marxism-Leninism-Maoism once used terms like "(petite) bourgeois democrats" or "(petite) bourgeois-democrat" in difference circumstances to refer to these petite bourgeois individuals soaked in opportunistic fantasies, and pointed out that they [the bourgeois democrats] almost always self-proclaim as "socialists" or "leftists", attempting to infiltrate into the ranks of the workers' movement. From Rousseau to Robespierre, from Louis Braun to the Mensheviks, from Kautsky to Trotsky, from Peng Dehuai to Deng Xiaoping, they are all bourgeois democrats. Democracy theoretical points to some kind of "pure", "total" capitalist state, but in reality, democratic political power can never be the norm; there can never be the kind of "pure" democracy and "totally" developed capitalism found in the world. Democratic dictatorships either advances to the dictatorship of the proletariat, or regresses

back to the dictatorship of the bourgeoisie, there can be no other options, and it is impossible to maintain in the long-term. Democracy once played a revolutionary role in history: it overthrew the autocratic rule of landlords or serfdom, destroyed feudal relations of production, expelled foreign colonizers, created unified domestic markets and modern bourgeois centralized governments, cleared the obstacles and opened the road to the development of capitalism. The French Revolution (Dictatorship of the Jacobin Club), Russian February Revolution (Dictatorship of worker-soldier representative Soviets), Chinese New Democratic Revolution (People's Democratic Dictatorship), are all bourgeois democratic revolutions (and its democratic dictatorship). Generally, the mainstay of the democratic dictatorship is "bourgeoisie of another strata, another type and another nature" i.e. the peasantry masses, with the leadership potentially being the bourgeoisie or the proletariat; in 20th-century Russia and China, it is manifested in the Workers' and Peasants' Alliance. In backwards countries and colonies that still have a great amount of feudal remnants, democracy is still revolutionary; although it is outdated in some countries, but is still timely needed in others. As for any specific country, the moment when democratic revolution is finished, is the moment of democracy losing any revolutionary meaning: within one hour of the overthrow of an old political power that has feudal characteristics, when the Tsar and Chiang Kaishiek fell, the antagonisms between the proletariat and the bourgeoisie immediately rise to be the principle contradiction of that country, the petite bourgeois democrats will immediately begin betraying workers and inevitably fall towards the bourgeoisie, even if feudal remnants are not yet cleared out of the economic base; from then onwards until classes disappear, any democratic propaganda that holds on to the outdated "revolutionary democratic dictatorship" for reasons of "bourgeois democratic revolution is not completed yet" are tools used by capitalists to oppose the proletariat, any conscious democrat theorist, activist and organizer is counter-revolutionary. Historically, groups or figures like the Mensheviks, Kautsky and Liu Shaoqi all put on the costume of socialists at one side, and on the other side, delay socialist revolution using lies such as "the time is not right", "conditions are not ripe", "the working class is not conscious enough", demanding "completion of democratic revolution" to "let capitalism fully develop", opposing the division between proletarian revolutionaries and petite bourgeois democrats, opposing independently organizing urban-rural half-proletarians. When social contradictions are so acute they become white-hot, nationalist and liberal lies all becoming bankrupt, when the two major classes are waging unprecedentedly fierce wars, democracy faked as socialism will become the final barrier protecting capitalism; the bureaucrats and capitalists will hide behind the back of the so-called "socialist government" of Kerensky and Albert Scheidemann to attack the Bolsheviks and the Spartacus League. Communists must not care about the various swinging and betrayals of petite bourgeois democrats and resolutely carry through Proletarian Revolution to the end.

The Jasic Worker Support Group^[1] "planted the seeds of dragons, but harvested fleas". Since 2018, due to the demonstration of the great power contained within the proletariat in the Jasic Struggle with socialist thought spreading widely, and exposure of the bourgeois character of the Chinese State, political consciousness of the petite bourgeoisie are agitated; due to the pain accompanied by bankruptcy caused by economic crisis and the pandemic, a part of the petite bourgeoisie began to "turn left" and accepted Marxism on words, becoming the new main elements of the so-called "leftists" and began to gravitate towards various opportunists. Fred Engst (Han Ji Liu [Cold Torrents]), jiliuwang.net (Tsing Hua Qiu Shi Xue Hui [Tsing Hua Truth Seeking Society]清华 求是学会/Zuo Yi 23 佐伊23), factions of Liao Yuan Yue Kar燎原月刊 [Prairie Fire Monthly] (the group of "Ma Lie Mao Zhu Yi Yu Ge Ming Zuo Yi Da Qun 马列毛主义于革命左翼大群 [big group of Marxism-Leninism-Maoism and the revolutionary left]" on Telegram and its associated forum, there are a few theorists with alias of Ge Ping 葛平, Zhang Jiao 张角, Chi Mei 赤眉 and others, formerly being Xing Huo Dao Kan [Spark Guide]星火导刊), Gong Ping She Bo Ke [Worker Commentary Blog] 工评社博客 (Troskyist element Qiu Huo [autumn fire]秋火/Qing Dao Gong [garbage collector] 清道夫/Hong Cao [red grass]红草), Young China (YCA), Telegram channel Road of Lenin 列宁道路 and Jinzhao [Present times]今朝, former webmaster of Mao Zedong Flag Shi Mai [striding through time] 时迈 (i.e. Hong Bei [red shells] 红贝), Rhine Society (Mi Ning 米 宁), Zhihu influencer Gong Yizhe (龚义哲), You Min Wang Xiaohai (游民王小嗨), all "Gonzaloites", various kinds of "Western Marxists" or "Trotskyists" or "Luxemburgists".....and other opportunists who "are also Marxists" and socialists are really petite bourgeois democrats; they paint the Revolutionary Teachers of Marxism-Leninism-Maoism to also be democrats who are vulgar, who are always persuading workers to "not

left-adventure", "not prematurely do risky struggles" like they do, and their adherences are mostly labor aristocrats who are dependent on the bourgeoisie via knowledge and technical skills. These intellectuals and technicians while sharing the fortune exploited from workers from the bourgeoisie as private owners, are also creating surplus value as laborers, in the end manifesting as the middle class who seemingly are exploited and do not exploit. Brain-work laborers, engineers and technicians who possess the ability of complex labor are employed by modern companies in form, often times self-proclaim as "proletarians too", regard themselves as "Dagongren[1]", but their class position and class consciousness are no different from small store owners, yeoman peasants (middle peasants) and petite producers who call themselves "Laobaixing^[2]" in nature; they are all petite bourgeoisie. Trotsky's "permanent revolution" makes deers out as horses, boasts nonsenses about democratic dictatorship being dictatorship of the proletariat, confusing principled differences capitalism and socialism; these absurd and reactionary confusions are a lot more serious in modern Chinese petite bourgeois democrats and are a lot more harmful to the socialist revolution. Too many opportunists with confused thought not only deny the divide between physical and brain-work labor is a class divide, regard the petite bourgeoisie as proletarians, but even also claim democratic movements like "996.icu[3]" to be socialist movements, saying democratic demands like "beat the landlord and divide the fields" and "democratic elections, freedom of speech" are slogans of Proletarian Revolution! However, the middle status of the petite bourgeoisie and its class nature determined what they wanted is "All are equal above myself, all below me have clear class divides". The petite bourgeoisie curse Xi Jinping and monopoly capital, and on the other side have nostalgia for the vitality of liberal capitalism during Hu Jintao and Wen Jiabao's time in office, have nostalgia of the age "full of hope" when "a good life can be achieved with personal efforts", have fantasies of opposing monopoly with democracy and free competition, have superstitions of capitalists' blank checks. When AI and other technology are progressing rapidly and threatening the professional area of the petite bourgeoisie, they fear and reject the progress of productive forces like the medieval craftsmen who were replaced by machines, and even delusionally want to reinstitute backwards petite production and turn the wheel of history backwards; there is nothing revolutionary about them. If the urban petite bourgeoisie want to ride over the heads of the workers forever, then they are destined to be stepped down by the bourgeoisie. Opportunists want a Weimar Germany wearing the skin of socialism, they are doomed to get an openly reactionary Nazi Germany. There are only two fates, two futures facing modern China: if not socialism, it is barbarism. There are no other options.

As we all know, as the middle strata or middle class of capitalist societies, the status of the petite bourgeoisie is extremely unstable, is always in constant processes of generation, polarization, and regeneration, repolarization; since this class does not have a future, does not have long-term and consistent class interests, it cannot come up with any scientific programme or strategic plans. History has proved countless times that the petite bourgeoisie is unable to adopt any independent political stance - it either falls towards the bourgeoisie or falls towards the proletariat, always swinging between the two major classes. The petite bourgeoisie is doomed under the capitalist system. Only through the road to communism via the dictatorship of the proletariat can the whole of human beings including the petite bourgeoisie be emancipated, only socialism fundamentally serves the long-term interests of the absolute majority of people, but the petite bourgeois masses under the rule and deceit of the bourgeoisie cannot see through this by themselves, they are always seduced instinctively by sweet compliments, oral commitments of **Democrats** as well as some short-term personal interests, rejecting Proletarian Revolution with sentiments of the private owner. But the headquarters of the petite bourgeoisie, the self-conscious Democrats always follow the Liberal bourgeoisie on all important issues, echoing Liberals' fallacies of making a capital regime out to a feudal one, denying that monopoly, autocratic rule, reactionary statism and conservatism are the inevitable products of capitalism highly developing on its foundations. These selfproclaimed "Marxists" use bourgeois democracy to falsify and oppose the dictatorship of the proletariat, desperately delay and obstruct workers' struggles for socialism, put demands which Liberals can barely tolerate to the first place, are servile and bending the knee in praising the bourgeoisie in the likes of how a servant praises his masters, and at the same time disguise as the friends and representatives of the workers

^[1]Note: 打工人, network catch phrase on the Chinese Internet, a self-mocking term that emerged in recent years, admitting one's position as merely an employee. Some self-proclaimed Marxist influencers (like Fred Engst) on the Chinese Internet commented this phrase as an awakening to class consciousness.

^[2]Note: 老百姓, Chinese daily spoken language to refer to the common people, ordinary people.

^[3] Note: Movement of programmers employed by Internet companies, exposing the time they work each day. Some also attempted to send Ma Yun a copy of Labor Law.

on words, boast class collaboration, help the bourgeoisie to rule over the People and deceive the People to be the canon ash of Liberals. The likes of Fred Engst and Ge Ping support the puppet state of Ukraine to win in the imperialist war, demanding Ukrainian workers to have class collaboration with the capitalists; Road of Lenin "fully praise[s]" the Sitong Bridge protestor Peng Zaizhou's "careful pondering, elaborate preparation and brave actions on political issues"; the 2023 new year's message of Liao Yuan Yue Kan [Prairie Fire Monthly] touts the White Paper Movement to be the "The People's white paper and red candles", the Liao Yuan Ri Bao [Prairie Fire Daily] openly upholds the Neolibral Yang Xiaokai as their Teacher on April 2nd [2023]; all these factions boast the same words of co-existence with Covid-19^[1]; all of these could have proved better that these opportunists who have no sense of shame are compeletly the lapdogs of the bourgeoisie. These petite bourgeois Democrats who do not have any independence have inevitably joined the Liberals, accepted the leadership of the bourgeoisie, and factually formed a counter-revolutionary union; this union attempts to limit the scope of the Chinese Revolution to capitalism, at one hand they want the workers to pull the chestnut from fire to confront the autocratic state, using the blood of workers in exchange of capitalists' "democratic" regime, but on the other hand, they fear workers becoming enlightened to fight for socialism and dictatorship of the proletariat. It is unimportant regarding the specific form of the agreement of union, and there does not need to be some formal written agreement signed, even some Democrats themselves do not understand or refuse to understand this point. Only a few years ago, socialism and nationalism were purposefully conflated to be the same thing by Liberals, the ultra right-wing Royalists in the likes of Si Manan were called "ultra left" in the mouths of Liberals like Fang Fang, and now Liberals became "leftists" with flunkey Democrats flanking and serving by their side. This is such a burlesque!

The Proletariat when seizing political power in the Socialist Revolution, can only strive for the petite bourgeoisie to abandon hostility, to leave the bourgeoisie and stay neutral, to paralyze the volatility of the petite bourgeoisie, so as to weaken the power of the reactionaries. In some cases, workers can build temporary agreements with the small private owners, support their spontaneous mass movements against the autocratic state and the bourgeoisie (such as the anti-Covid-containment movement), but there is no possibility in uniting or leading them. The formula of Socialist Revolution is depending on the Proletariat, uniting urban-rural half proletarians, neutralizing the petite bourgeoisie, utilizing the contradictions between the liberal bourgeoisie and the bureaucrat bourgeoisie, completely destroying the bourgeois state apparatus and the capitalist system. And to neutralize the entire petite bourgeoisie, it is a must-do to theoretically and ideologically openly attack the leaders of parties of the petite bourgeois Democrats, widely expose among the petite bourgeois masses that their headquarters are actually their enemies, make these ambiguous, smooth, hypocritical **opportunists** completely bankrupt in the hearts of the **masses**, losing any credibility. **The attitude** and strategy of Proletarian Revolutionaries towards the petite bourgeoisie is a very important problem, it must be treated in a highly serious and extremely cautious and flexible way, there cannot be any abstract conclusion made without concrete conditions, because the bourgeoisie will distort our position using all means possible on this exact position, creating all kinds of lies to scare the middle class into opposing the Revolutionaries, arousing the hatred mentality of the private owner in protecting their private property, instigating great atrocities of massacring workers. Shameless lies that smear communists as thugs and looters like "The Maoist Communist Party is making everyone a counter-revolutionary, is going to inflict violence on the petite bourgeoisie and deprive all of their property, is going to persecute intellectuals like Red Guards in the Cultural Revolution" will be spreading everywhere in the times of armed struggle, inciting the petite bourgeoisie to join the White Army to encircle and siege the workers' Red Army. We must carefully differentiate between the partisan opportunists consciously spreading lies and the deceived petite bourgeois masses who have spontaneous sentiments for democracy, differentiate between "famous", influential upper strata and lower strata who are entrapped and carried by the flow, differentiate between the centrists that can be fought for and the irredeemable bad elements, and carefully delineate numerous different stratas according to political leaning and the level of consciousness, concretely deal with each individual according to their concrete

^[1] Note: see this article on Chinese Proletarian Struggles to learn the stance of the MCPC on this issue.

Link: https://telegra.ph/A-Dilemma-of-the-Chinese-Bourgeoisie-in-Epidmic-06-16

There are other articles reporting the situation in factories after co-existence policy was fully implemented on their newspaper channel. In these reports, the workers were forced to work even when infected with COVID-19, with no medicine to take or being charged with ridiculous prices for a single capsule.

performance in different time periods; we cannot treat every individual in the same way and use abstract one-size-fits-all standards to apply to every person. Because in a capitalist society, between the proletariat and the bourgeoisie there must be half-proletarians and the petite bourgeoisie, there are also a series of transitioning stratas within each class, thus politically between revolutionary and counter-revolutionary there are necessarily **half-revolutionary** and **neutral**, and a series of **transitioning** political positions and ideological state. If we do not apply correct class analysis to them, do not take corresponding, appropriate and concrete strategies according to the concrete **cognition level** and **level of consciousness** of a specific strata, we will make "left" or right opportunistic mistakes. We will address this issue specifically in the future.

Every bourgeois party wants to disguise as factions more "left" and closer to the bottom of society, so as to pretend to more or less represent the interests of workers, but today's clowns' every attempt in this poor imitation always ends in a comedy. In 2013 when Xi Jinping first came to power, foreign press and liberals are filled with anticipation in the political liberalization reforms that this "open-minded person's son" will perform, the New York Times even published an article cheering Looking for a Jump-Start in China^[1] [This is the English title, the Chinese title says *Xi Jinping is a Reformist*]. Five years later, Xi Jinping vowed to his position with his hands on the Constitution, and at the same time amending the Constitution to eliminate term restrictions, as if history is mocking the fantasies of Liberals back then. Just like how the **Republicans** of the US are not actually republicans but Statist Conservatives and the **Democrats** of the US are not actually democrats but are liberal republicans, Xi **Jinping** uses "administer the country with the Constitution" and "lock power into institutional cages" to put on the costume of being a constitutionalist, Liberal intellectuals like Liu Xiaobo who despise and hate most of the Chinese People attribute autocracy to the race of the Chinese people and national inferiority fake as **Democrats**. True petite bourgeois Democrats like Fred Engst, jiliuwang.net, Prairie Fire Monthly, Road of Lenin had their positions taken by friends on the right, they will naturally lean to the left to rob the chair of workers, faking as Socialists. Although Nationalists, Liberals and petite bourgeois Democrats often dislike each other, sometimes even bite each other with dog's fur all over the ground, but there are no serious antagonisms and unbridgeable abyss between them, it is not difficult for them to turn into each other, they are fundamentally in one family and all hate the Proletarian Revolutionaries who expose their true faces to the bones. In today's China, the only true Marxists are the Maoist Communist Party of China and those who sincerely support its revolutionary ideas, only ignorant quacks or political liars purposefully fooling the masses will say the struggles between our Party and other factions are the so-called "internal conflicts of the left", will count the Royalists, Liberals and Democrats faking as Socialists as Marxists. In front of the great rights and wrongs of class struggle, all bourgeois parties will put the disputes aside and unite together, "forming a true Masonic body", standing to the opposite of the entire working class. All these fake Marxists and opportunists are all the death enemies of the Proletarian Revolution, they read the works of our workers' Teachers are for the purpose of becoming more skillful at taking words in these works out of context, taking snippets of words to whitewash bourgeois thought so that they can hide their essence before the masses. Capitalists who like using second-rate goods are most skilled at wrapping fakes as reals, the cleverer the disguise, the more convincing the fake, the harder it becomes to spot. If the enlightened workers do not want to be deceived, they must develop piercing eyes, make efforts to learn revolutionary theory well; they not only understand how to spot those explicit monsters and freaks, but also more importantly must learn to see through those opportunists extremely covert with sophisticated camouflage, openly expose their true face.

"Only the party guided by advanced theory can become advanced warriors." We are learning revolutionary theory not just for explaining the world and identifying lies, but more importantly for transforming the world, for the smashing of the old world and create a new society. As large as the Party's Programme, and as small as each leaflet, every agitation, all need the guidance of scientific theory. Marxism-Leninism-Maoism is not dogmas, but rather the guide to action; capitalism is developing continuously, it has changed greatly compared to the 20th century, socialist revolution today cannot possibly copy the historical experience of Lenin and Chairman Mao in Democratic Revolutions. We must independently use the basic principles of Marxism-Leninism-Maoism to concretely analyze the social reality of China and come to the conclusions that practice needs. Only by understanding class analysis, mastering the scientific laws of class struggle, can we understand the relationship

between different classes and the logic of how different political parties act, can we correctly predict the future, determine the political line, can we make concrete guides to action and guide the struggle. On the other hand, theory can only become material forces when it masters the masses, and to master the masses it requires the Vanguard Party to widely instill socialist consciousness. The Marxist Party is the most important tool of the working class, developing and strengthening revolutionary organizations are the core tasks of us in the current stage. However, to build a Communist Vanguard Party requires creating a National Political Newspaper, it requires a large number of communicators that do propaganda and agitation truly from the standpoint of the proletariat, and without systematic theoretical study, such task cannot be fulfilled. Chinese Proletarian Struggles has received article submissions from some reader comrades, but a lot of articles could not be used due to logical deficiencies and the failure in analyzing concretely of concrete problems, or the efforts needed to edit the article will be equal to writing a new article, and in the end we have to give up; it is a great pity. We hope more comrades can master Marxism-Leninism-Maoism more deeply after carefully reading the works of this book list, and can clarify various misconceptions, write positively and submit articles enthusiastically.

Marxism is a revolutionary and scientific unity, strong class sentiments and correct theory are the requirements of forming a proletarian standpoint. If an advanced worker only has simple class feelings and perceptions of various inequalities, but does not have rational deep understanding of the historical responsibility of the working class, then he is still in the spontaneous state, and cannot fulfill the requirements to joining the Vanguard Party, and more importantly cannot take up the heavy burden of developing the organization and leading struggles. Therefore, comrades must learn the works of Marxism-Leninism-Maoism, master the rules of class struggle as well as the inevitability of the proletariat's victory over the bourgeoisie and the inevitability of socialism replacing capitalism, draw up the power to inspire us towards selfless battles from scientific theories, do not get swept along by petite bourgeois sentiments of fanaticism, despair, craziness, fear, depression^[1] and swaying, thereby erect determined revolutionary optimism and high-degree of historical consciousness, have high fighting spirits when facing temporary failures and setbacks and never go into despair.

A lot of advanced youths in contact with the Party have dropped out of school to integrate with the workers, they along with advanced workers are the main targets of our mobilization. Heavy physical labor stretching for more than ten hours already took up most of the energy, with very little time left after work, but comrades should still emphasize theoretical study and treat it as an unrelenting long-term task; if comrades have continuously worked a long time in factories, they can leave the factory for rest and book-reading. Comrades left **bourgeois colleges**, refused to be enslaved, but this does not mean we reject learning cultural knowledge or are satisfied with being ignorant. Communists are precisely going to **society colleges** in factories, slums and jails, going to **revolution colleges** in revolutionary organizations and political struggles. Worker comrades with allowing objective conditions should leave production for some time to focus on theoretical studies and get training from the Organization, so as to raise themselves to the level of intellectuals and forge themselves to become **professional revolutionaries**. Advanced workers who have not integrated with the workers have sufficient time to read books, and must seize the time to absorb theoretical knowledge, clarify wrong perceptions in their minds as much as possible, and pave the road for complete thought transformation.

How should enlightened youths learn Marxism-Leninism-Maoism? If we do it by "thoroughly reading collected works" and performing "text interpretations" like idealist nerds fooled by *Road of Lenin*, we will only become more and more stupid, more and more reactionary, and even make a laughingstock of including Foxconn factory managers, Huawei hardware engineers and Meituan programmers into the ranks of the proletariat. Therefore, we advocate foremost that theory must be associated with reality, and oppose subjectivisms of only reading books without regards to real like. Just as Lenin said, "without work, without struggles, the book knowledge about communism gained from communist pamphlets and works are of no use whatsoever, because this type of book knowledge still retained the most disgusting characteristic of the old capitalist society, that is the disengagement between theory and praxis". Works of Marxism-Leninism-Maoism are only textbooks, factories and societies are the broad classrooms. To connect theory with praxis, the prerequisites are a basic understanding of the vivid and vibrant life, it requires going out of the door and observe rich social life, first and foremost investigate the working

class and independently investigate various classes of the society, learn to reach logical conclusions with general perceptions of matters, learn to see the class essence through political phenomenon, use a large number of concrete examples to substantiate abstract principles of historical materialism. Intellectuals detached from praxis are most ignorant, they cannot see how workers are working and producing in factories, cannot see how peasants farm, cannot see how capitalists exploit, and cannot see how commodities are exchanged; they only know the 4 big characters of "Wu Chan Jie Ji 无产阶级" [the Proletariat] on paper, but do not know what the industrial workers in real-life are like, do not know the various concrete ways class antagonisms manifested. Therefore it is especially important for the intellectuals: to broadly, deeply engage with the working class, eat, live and work together with workmates, get to know workers' thought, feelings, social relationships and life experiences. For worker comrades, we must broadly observe public opinions and news, pay especial attention to political struggles in reality and various political factions, try to connect perceptual phenomenon in struggles with abstract laws described by theory, even if there are mistakes at the start. If it is difficult to remember foreign names like Gorchak, Stuart Loewy, Plekhanov in Lenin's works and cannot tell them apart, then substitute them with Dai Xu, Cai Xia and Fred Engst so it becomes easier to remember. If you cannot understand the characters of Socialist Revolutionary Party, Mensheviks and Kautsky, then look at today's *Prairie Fire Monthly*, jiliuwang.net and *Road* of Lenin and you can understand. When comrades learn to rise from concrete phenomena of various colors to abstract concepts, it is also necessary to grasp the relationships between phenomena and laws of movements, then rise from the abstract inevitability to concrete actions; use theory to analyze situations, predict the future, guide struggles, then understand and tests laws in practice to forge deeper conceptions. For example, witnessing how managers and engineers avoided workers and even stood beside capitalists to oppose workers during Foxconn workers' strike and street struggles makes a deeper understanding of why these brain-workers do not belong to the proletariat than reading articles critiquing bourgeois rights.

In addition, we need to learn to read theoretical works with questions, try to distill the questions that need to be addressed before reading in depth, and must ask questions in the correct Marxist way based on pressing needs of revolutionary struggles, this is even more important then finding the answers. Then read while thinking and taking notes, reach corresponding conclusions. We can ask questions in this way: in past events (such as the Jasic Struggle, or Covid-19 pandemic, Russia-Ukraine War, etc.), a lot of different political factions can be seen, with each propagating their propositions, taking different specific actions. What is the meaning of these faction divides, which class do they each represent, reflecting what economic interests? Why does this class interest drive them to take some specific propositions and actions? How do the bourgeois Royalists and Liberals explain these issues? How do opportunists disguised as socialists explain these issues? How do Proletarian Revolutionaries explain these issues? Or when facing certain future events (such as workers' movement on a larger scale, China-US Taiwan Strait War, the collapse of the autocratic regime etc.), it is needed to draw on relevant discourses of Revolutionary Teachers to predict the future and guide action, then it is required to observe the social historical context in which specific assertions by Marx, Engels, Lenin, Stalin and Mao were made, and what kind of environment and historical conditions do they apply to? Which theories are applicable in today's China? Which principles are applicable in the entire class society? A lot more questions like this can be listed, each comrade should try to ask questions to themselves in light of current praxis and revolutionary struggles, learn and think with questions. As reference, we will give some example questions in each topic of the book list. It should be paid especial attention that when comrades solve each question, it cannot be a simple "yes" or "no" or an abstract opinion, there should also be concrete analysis of concrete problems, rigorous arguing for clear causation and objective inevitability, continuously asking "why"; comrades should associate various social phenomenon and political events from old to new, from domestic to foreign, cite detailed and reliable facts as arguments

(using examples that are relatively well-known wherever possible), do not use abstract, empty words with no real content. At the same time, comrades must compare how the same question is answered by different class positions, point out common arguments of Nationalists, Liberals and petite bourgeois Democrats on this question, especially expose the words used by opportunists disguised as Marxists to hide their true goal, and even the possible non-Marxist misrepresentation of these questions that opportunists may have.

Without these basic work, then the questions are not truly answered. If the questions of some topics have been resolved relatively satisfactorily, then one should limit themselves to form, and do not need to read all books in the topic, instead they should take the initiative to find and read more materials.

Finally, compared to the countless questions that eternally changing real life and grand revolutionary practice ask us, the scope that this book list can cover is still very limited, comrades should not be limit themselves to this, and should take the initiative to more deeply research and explore when possible, need to use Internet resources to analyze the more concrete difficult problems after mastering the basic content of the book list; for unfamiliar concepts, figures, historical events, comrades should proactively use all search engines to find, to read real and reliable references, study and research persistently until you arrive at an answer. "There are no smooth avenues in science, and only those who climb undauntedly along steep hills can hope to reach the glorious summit." Other than this, it is also necessary to read bourgeois counter-revolutionary works as negative examples, learn about common reactionary thought, historical nihilist lies and the tactics of opportunists tampering with Marxism and find their modern variants; knowing yourselves and knowing your enemies will get you unscathed through a hundred battles. If there are difficulties when studying, comrades can have discussions in groups we established on each encrypted software, debate and have ideological struggles; or comrades can directly contact Jasic Worker Solidarity Group and Chinese Proletarian Struggles, we will answer in time in our best capabilities.

"Tell me the kind of books you read, and I will know the kind of person you are." Statists promote he Three-Body Problem and Huntington, Liberals promote 1984 and Hayek; the books each political faction lean towards reflect different class programmes and positions, and in essence are different material interests. Just like how a complete plant is bred within a seed, from the book list it is also possible to take a vague glimpse of our Party's Programme. When our Party was young, we made theoretical mistakes, Mao Houzhi and other old comrades were strongly influenced by historical memory and situations at the time, mistakenly thought there are still feudal comparator elements within Chinese society in the 2008 Message to the People in the Whole Country (which was correctly in 2014), later some individual comrades running Youth Pioneers mistakenly thought skilled [to say they have technical knowledge] workers and common office staff are part of the Proletariat, betraying the Party's position. Although the Maoist Communist Party of China takes the position of violent revolution from the very start, firmly opposed reactionary trends of "reserving the Party [i.e. the CPC] and saving the Country" and "unite with the Right to oppose the Party [i.e. the CPC]", critiqued the ridiculous "half-periphery theory", "two time revolution theory" and "proletarian brain worker theory" (YCA), protected the independence of the Proletarian Party, upheld correct directions of socialist revolution, intellectuals integrating with workers in factories, developing centralized revolutionary organization, self re-molding and ideological struggles, waging political agitations and political struggles, but until the Jasic Struggle the Party had not cleared itself of remnants of Democracy on some specific matters. Our Party's Marxism-Leninism-Maoism's theoretical final rupture with Democracy is accompanied with **organizational** rupture of Proletarians elements with intellectual elements: traitorous opportunists were purged out one after another under the great torrents of the Jasic Struggle, being unable to withstand the great pressure of White Terror and becoming mentally collapsed, automatically rolling out of revolutionary organizations, then some became Royalists, some became Liberals, but they all turned their heads around and cursed the Revolutionaries of being "left blind adventurism". The complete rupture between Communists and petite bourgeois Democrats came so early, the divide was so clean, resolute and decisive, it has to be said this is a great fortune for the Chinese Revolution. The theory, Programme, line, strategy of Marxism-Leninism-Maoism are always developed and formed through tireless struggles against the bourgeoisie and opportunism, the training of class struggle makes the Revolutionaries understand Marxism more deeply. To develop sound scientific Revolutionary Programme, there are many more concrete work we need to do in the coming time; due to the lack of time and energy, it is foreseeable that there will be many difficulties, but nevertheless the Big Dipper has been found, the direction has been pointed out, our tasks are to uphold high the banner and continue progressing.

The Maoist Communist Party of China is the Vanguard of the Chinese Proletariat. Our Party are fundamentally opposed to all parties and factions of bourgeois society, our theoretical position are reflected in each topic of the book list, demonstrating clear Party consciousness of the Proletariat. We advocate using

violent revolution to overthrow Chinese capitalist autocratic regime, destroying bourgeois state machinery, dispossession of capitalists, eliminating private ownership of the means of production and wage labor system, establishing Dictatorship of the Proletariat and Socialist public ownership, defeat open or disguised bourgeois democracy. We unveil complex labor's super surplus value originates from simple labor, expose the exploitation of physical labor by brain labor, advocate education revolution, limit and gradually eliminate bourgeois rights, expose lies of **private owners** like intellectuals and technicians disguising as workers, oppose replacing revolutionary interests of the proletariat with petite bourgeois personal gains. We advocate Continuing Revolution under the Dictatorship of the Proletariat, transitioning to Communist Society without classes and states and never give up halfway. On the issues of turning Imperialist Wars into Domestic Wars, on the issues of strategy of political agitation, political struggles and strikes and protests, on the issues of building a centralized Party Organization, on the issues of supporting Peoples of colonies striving for National Liberation with New Democratic Revolutions, on the issues of striving for complete Liberation of oppressed women with female workers at the core.....and other issues, the theoretical viewpoints of the Maoist Communist Party of China all represent the fundamental interests of the Proletariat, serve the struggles striving complete Liberation of exploited laborers. It takes no effort to predict that revolutionary theory will be hated and attacked by all reactionary factions and opportunists, this is perfectly natural, as it reflects the antagonism between proletarian and bourgeois interests.

Without revolutionary theory, there can be no revolutionary movement, this is the truth that has long been proved by history. Today, we must add one more sentence: without selfless revolutionary feelings, it is impossible to master revolutionary theory. There is no love with no reason in this world, there is also no hate without reason, class society brands all emotions and feelings with class, are all perceptual reflections of class interests and economic relationships. "The specificity of the research materials of political economy summons the most intense, most despicable, the ugliest feelings within people's hearts, summons Revenge Goddess representing personal gains to the battlefield to oppose free scientific research." Petite bourgeois Democrats' open tampering with history, closing their eyes to social realities, denying basic common knowledge of Marxism-Leninism-Maoism, are exactly due to fearing "socialist revolution coming on their own heads", these Egoists are forever blind to Truths. The interests and prejudices of the small private owner, made the opportunists with heads full of various opportunistic thought, selfish motives and messy thoughts rather believe in self-contradictory ridiculous fake Marxist arguments than accepting true scientific theory. An intellectual who does not love the working class at all, does not have hatred towards the exploiting class, does not reflect and feel guilt for the class privilege he has due to owning mental means of production that enables him doing brain work and enjoying free time, even after reading all works of Marx, Engels, Lenin, Stalin and Mao, and even be able to memorize them in reverse, can only be counter-revolutionary like Kautsky.

Therefore, proletarian revolutionary feelings are necessary preconditions to mastering truths of Marxism-Leninism-Maoism. Only when one's emotions are with the working class, when one deeply loves the exploited laboring people, when one completely ruptures with old ownership relationships and concepts of private ownership, can one accept scientific theory. Class feelings are expressions of class instincts and is most clearly expressed in class struggle; a revolutionary worker, will undoubtedly feel sorrow for the lives of Russian and Ukrainian class compatriots lost during the war, feel fury against the two groups of murders of Chinese and Russian bourgeoisie and Ukrainian-NATO bourgeoisie, feel the double pain of being jobless and starving during zero-COVID policy, and working while sick in mutual existence [with COVID-19]. Even a proletarian who almost never read works of Marxism-Leninism-Maoism, will take revolutionary actions in significant historical turning points according to class instincts and follow the lead of the Vanguard; these workers' understanding of Marxism are ten thousand times more correct than all college professors combined. This book list only serves a starting point, is a single step towards climbing the long road to peak of truth. Any people who want to genuinely become Marxists rather than playing words in mouth must throw themselves in class struggle and labor practice with no second thoughts to reforge their position and feelings, laugh mercilessly at and thoroughly critique their backwardness and weakness during ideological struggles, call up their endless love for the toiling people and bone-deep hatred for all exploitative systems, setting Communist beliefs that are resolutely fearless towards any sacrifices, and forge themselves to be men made of special materials. As long as tens of thousands of

revolutionaries grow up in the flames of struggles and join the Maoist Communist Party of China, use unified revolutionary wills and high levels of discipline to unite to an organization truly like steel, we can definitely forge ourselves to be the sharpest weapon in the hands of the proletariat, chop off the sinful head of the bourgeois regime, cutting the chains of capital binding wage slaves, carry the revolutionary cause of striving for the emancipation of humanity to the very end.